

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,375	01/09/2002	Glenn M. Friedman	1857.0690000	4144	
26111	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			UNDERWOOD	UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/200-	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/040,375	FRIEDMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald Underwood	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/21/04</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 50-68 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-68</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>50-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/23/04</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/040,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 50-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the connection system secures the reticle to the mounting plate in the first through third degrees of freedom and compliantly in the fourth through sixth degrees of freedom. It appears the reticle plate is restrained except for motion to and from the mounting plate. Clarification is required.

It is also unclear how out of plane movement of the reticle plate with respect to the mounting plate is prevented since the reticle plate moves toward and away from the mounting plate, i.e., out of plane movement. Clarification is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 50-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 50, the phrase "allows the reticle plate to be rigidly secured" renders the claim indefinite since the word allows is not a positive connection. A more clear phrase would be --rigidly secures the reticle plate--. Also "secured" in the last line should be --secures it--. Further the phrase "is used" is indefinite since it is unclear whether or not the robot is being claimed. Clarification is required.

5. Claims 60-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether the reticle plate and mounting plate are claimed. In claim 60 it appears the reticle plate and mounting plate are not positively claimed but yet the means for connecting is define regarding the relationship the means permits between the plates. Either the means should be defined based on its own structure or the plates should be positively claimed. In claims 61, 62 and 63, the means are positively related to the plates but as noted above the plates are not positively claimed. Clarification is required. In claims 64, 65 and 66, these claims further define the reticle plate which is not positively claimed in claim 60 rendering them indefinite. In claim 67, an intended use of a robot is set forth but no robot is positively claimed in claim 60. Clarification is required. Further regarding claim 60 and regarding claim 68, the "is used" is indefinite since it is unclear whether or not the robot is being claimed. Clarification is required. Finally, further regarding claim 66, the phrase "lands a seals" is unclear. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 3652

Ý,

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood 6. at telephone number 703-308-1113.

Underwood/vs August 5, 2004

Whend W. Lindeword 02 (10/04 DONALD W. UNDERWOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER